

GENERIC SPLITTING FIELDS OF COMPOSITION ALGEBRAS

BY

J. C. FERRAR⁽¹⁾

Witt [7] proved that one can assign to each generalized quaternion algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over a field K , a field $F(\mathcal{A})$ containing K which splits $\mathcal{A}$ and has the property: if $F(\mathcal{A})$ splits a quaternion algebra $\mathcal{B}$ over K then either $\mathcal{B}$ is split over K or $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$. Amitsur [2] has generalized this result to obtain generic splitting fields for all central simple associative algebras of dimension greater than one over K (cf. Roquette [6]). In this paper we generalize the result of Witt in another direction, studying splitting fields of composition algebras of dimension greater than one over K of characteristic other than two. We assign to each such algebra $\mathcal{C}$, a field $F(\mathcal{C})$ containing K , prove that $F(\mathcal{C})$ is an invariant under isomorphisms, and prove

THEOREM 2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a composition algebra of dimension greater than one over K . Then*

1. $\mathcal{C}_{F(\mathcal{C})}$ is split.
2. If $F \supseteq K$ is any field, then $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split if and only if there is a K -place of $F(\mathcal{C})$ into $F \cup \infty$.
3. If $\mathcal{C}'$ is any composition algebra over K such that $\mathcal{C}'_{F(\mathcal{C})}$ is split, then either $\mathcal{C}'$ is split or $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}'$.

Thus we generalize the result of Witt to quadratic and generalized Cayley algebras.

I. Composition algebras. A composition algebra $\mathcal{C}$ over a field K is an algebra over K , with identity 1, together with a nondegenerate quadratic form N such that $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$ for any x, y in $\mathcal{C}$. The structure of such algebras has been completely determined and we refer to [1] or [4] for proofs of the following results.

1. A composition algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is alternative with involution $\tau: \alpha 1 + u \rightarrow \alpha 1 - u$, for u orthogonal to 1 with respect to the nondegenerate, symmetric, bilinear form $N(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\{N(x+y) - N(x) - N(y)\}$. Each $x \in \mathcal{C}$ can be uniquely represented in the form $x = \alpha 1 + u$, $\alpha \in K$, $N(u, 1) = 0$ and one has $N(x)1 = (\alpha 1 + u)(\alpha 1 - u)$.

If V is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}$, we shall denote by $V^\perp$ the orthogonal complement of V in $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $N(x, y)$.

2. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}$ (necessarily having associated quadratic form the restriction of N to $\mathcal{B}$), and $u \in \mathcal{B}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $N(u) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}u$,

Received by the editors August 28, 1966.

⁽¹⁾ Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant GP-6368.

$\mathcal{B}u = \{bu \mid b \in \mathcal{B}\}$, is a composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}$ with structure determined completely by the structure of $\mathcal{B}$ and the element $N(u) \in K$. $\mathcal{B}u$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the nondegenerate form $N(x, y)$ and hence $\dim(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}u) = 2 \dim \mathcal{B}$.

3. Every composition algebra $\mathcal{C}$ has dimension 1, 2, 4, or 8 over K and possesses composition subalgebras of dimension 2^e for all e such that $2^e \leq \dim \mathcal{C}$.

4. If φ is an isomorphism from a composition algebra $\mathcal{C}$ with quadratic form N onto a composition algebra $\mathcal{C}'$ with quadratic form N' , then $N'(x\varphi) = N(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

5. A composition algebra is called split if there is $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $u \neq 0$, such that $N(u) = 0$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is split, the form $N(x, y)$ has maximal Witt index. If $\mathcal{C}$ is not split, $\mathcal{C}$ is a division algebra.

6. If $F \supseteq K$ is a field, the algebra $\mathcal{C}_F = \mathcal{C} \otimes_K F$ is again a composition algebra (over F) with associated quadratic form N_F , the natural extension of N to $\mathcal{C}_F$.

For convenience we shall denote by λx , $\lambda \in F$, $x \in \mathcal{C}$, the element $\lambda \otimes x$ of $\mathcal{C}_F$.

II. Construction of the generic splitting field. We assume now that $\mathcal{C}$ is an arbitrary composition algebra of dimension 2^k , $k > 0$, over K of characteristic other than two. Let u_i , $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, $m = 2^{k-1}$, be elements of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $N(u_i) \neq 0$ for all i , $N(u_i, u_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and u_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, span a composition algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. We take $L(\mathcal{C})$ to be the rational function field in $m-1$ indeterminates $x_2, \dots, x_m$ over K , assuming as a convention that this will be K if $m=1$, and define

$$\lambda(u) = N(u_1)^{-1} N\left(\sum_{i=2}^m u_i x_i + u_{m+1}\right) = N(u_1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^m x_i^2 N(u_i) + N(u_{m+1})\right)$$

in $L(\mathcal{C})$.

The generic splitting field $F(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as follows: $F(\mathcal{C}) = L(\mathcal{C})$ if $\mathcal{C}$ is split; $F(\mathcal{C}) = L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ if $\mathcal{C}$ is not split.

We show now that $F(\mathcal{C})$ is dependent, up to isomorphism, only on $\mathcal{C}$, and not on the choice of the u_i , proving first

LEMMA 1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a composition division algebra over K , u_i, v_i , $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ sets of elements of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the conditions above and such that u_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, and v_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, span the same subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$.*

Proof. By (2), $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}u_{m+1}$ and $\mathcal{B}^\perp = \mathcal{B}u_{m+1}$. Thus there is $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $v_{m+1} = bu_{m+1}$, $N(b) \neq 0$. Since bu_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ span $\mathcal{B}$,

$$\alpha v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m x_i v_i + v_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i (bu_i) + bu_{m+1} = b \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i u_i + u_{m+1} \right)$$

for any $\alpha \in L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$, where ξ_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, are K -linear combinations of α and the x_i , $2 \leq i \leq m$, and conversely. For $\alpha = (- \lambda(v))^{1/2}$,

$$0 = N\left(\alpha v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m x_i v_i + v_{m+1}\right) = N(b) N\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i u_i + u_{m+1}\right)$$

and, since $N(b) \neq 0$, $\xi_1^2 = -N(u_1)^{-1}(\sum_2^m \xi_i^2 N(u_i) + N(u_{m+1}))$. Since the ξ_i generate $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$ over K , it follows that there is an isomorphism of $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ onto $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$ mapping x_i onto ξ_i , $2 \leq i \leq m$, and $(- \lambda(u))^{1/2}$ onto ξ_1 .

We shall obtain our results on the independence of $F(\mathcal{C})$ from the choice of the u_i , and on the invariance of $F(\mathcal{C})$ under isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$, as corollaries to

THEOREM 1. *Let $u_i, v_i, 1 \leq i \leq m+1$ be elements of a division composition algebra $\mathcal{C}$, satisfying the criteria given for the u_i in defining $F(\mathcal{C})$. Let $u_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, span the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ and let $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, span the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}'$. Then $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$.*

Proof. We consider the separate cases $m=1, 2$, or 4 .

Case 1. $m=1$. The only one-dimensional composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}$ is $K1$, hence $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}'$ and the result follows from Lemma 1.

Case 2. $m=2$. If $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}'$, Lemma 1 again yields the desired result. Thus we may assume $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}' = K1$.

If $1, u$ are an orthogonal basis for $\mathcal{B}$, $v \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$, then $1, v$ also span a subalgebra, say $\mathcal{D}$, of $\mathcal{C}$. Taking $u_1=1, u_2=u, u_3=v, u'_1=1, u'_2=v, u'_3=u$, we see easily that since $\lambda(u)=N(u)x_1^2+N(v)$, $\lambda(u')=N(v)x_1^2+N(u)$, the mapping taking x_1 onto x_1^{-1} , $(- \lambda(u))^{1/2}$ onto $x_1^{-1}(- \lambda(u'))^{1/2}$ determines an isomorphism of $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ onto $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u'))^{1/2})$.

Since $\mathcal{B}^\perp, (\mathcal{B}')^\perp$ are two-dimensional subspaces of the three dimensional space $(K1)^\perp$, there is $z \in \mathcal{B}^\perp \cap (\mathcal{B}')^\perp, z \neq 0$. By the above observation and Lemma 1, $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2}), L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$ are isomorphic to fields $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u'))^{1/2}), L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v'))^{1/2})$ respectively, where $u'_1=1=v'_1, u'_2=z=v'_2$. By Lemma 1 the latter fields are isomorphic and the result follows.

Case 3. $m=4$. Again, if $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}'$ we are finished. To complete the proof we shall show the result follows in the event $\dim(\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}')=2$, and shall give a method of reducing the case $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}' = K1$ to the case $\dim(\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}')=2$.

We show first that if $\mathcal{D}$ is a composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$ of dimension 2 with orthogonal basis $1, a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{D}^\perp, a_3 \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$, and we take $u_1=1, u_2=a_1, u_3=a_2, u_4=a_1a_2, u_5=a_3, u'_1=1, u'_2=a_1, u'_3=a_3, u'_4=a_1a_3, u'_5=a_2$ (such sets are easily seen to satisfy the necessary criteria for use in defining $F(\mathcal{C})$), then $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u'))^{1/2})$. For $\alpha \in L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$,

$$\alpha 1 + x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_1 a_2 + a_3 = (\alpha 1 + x_1 a_1 + a_3) + (x_2 1 + x_3 a_1) a_2$$

and since, for $\alpha = (- \lambda(u))^{1/2}$, $N(\alpha 1 + x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_1 a_2 + a_3) = 0$, we have $N((x_2 1 + x_3 a_1)^{-1}(\alpha 1 + x_1 a_1 + a_3) + a_2) = 0$. Since $(x_2 1 + x_3 a_1)^{-1} = (x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))^{-1} \times (x_2 1 - x_3 a_1)$ by (1) we have, carrying out the multiplication term by term, and converting,

$$N\left(\sum_1^4 \xi_i u'_i + u'_5\right) = \sum_1^4 \xi_i^2 N(u'_i) + N(u'_5) = 0,$$

where

$$\xi_1 = (x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))^{-1}(\alpha x_2 + x_1 x_3 N(a_1))$$

$$\xi_2 = (x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))^{-1}(x_1 x_2 - \alpha x_3)$$

$$\xi_3 = (x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))^{-1}x_2$$

$$\xi_4 = -(x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))^{-1}x_3.$$

In $K(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4) \subseteq L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ are the elements

$$\xi_3^2 + \xi_4^2 N(a_1) = (x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))^{-1},$$

and hence x_2, x_3 ; $x_2(\alpha x_2 + x_1 x_3 N(a_1)) - x_3 N(a_1)(x_1 x_2 - \alpha x_3) = \alpha(x_2^2 + x_3^2 N(a_1))$, hence α ; and finally x_1 . Thus $K(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4) = L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ when $\alpha = (- \lambda(u))^{1/2}$, and the mapping taking x_i onto ξ_i , $2 \leq i \leq 4$, and $(- \lambda(u'))^{1/2}$ onto ξ_1 determines an isomorphism of $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u'))^{1/2})$ onto $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ since

$$\xi_1^2 = -N(u'_1)^{-1} \left(\sum_2^4 \xi_i^2 N(u'_i) + N(u'_5) \right).$$

Now if $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{D}$ is two-dimensional, and $z \in \mathcal{B}^\perp \cap (\mathcal{B}')^\perp$, the latter intersection being nontrivial from dimensionality arguments as in Case 2, we may use the above result and Lemma 1 to show $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$, $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$ are isomorphic respectively to fields $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u'))^{1/2})$, $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v'))^{1/2})$ where u'_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and v'_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, span the same subalgebra $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}z$. Lemma 1 then completes the argument.

If $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}' = K1$, we have again a nontrivial $z \in \mathcal{B}^\perp \cap (\mathcal{B}')^\perp$ and we take subalgebras $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}'$ of dimension 2 in $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}'$ respectively. Again it follows that $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u'))^{1/2})$ where u'_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, span $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}z$, and that $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v))^{1/2})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(v'))^{1/2})$, where v'_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, span $\mathcal{D}' + \mathcal{D}'z$. Since $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}z) \cap (\mathcal{D}' + \mathcal{D}'z)$ is the algebra spanned by 1 and z , we have reduced the argument to the case $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}'$ two-dimensional and are finished.

COROLLARY 1. *The field $F(\mathcal{C})$ is independent of the choice of the $u_i \in \mathcal{C}$ used in defining it.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{C}$ is split, $F(\mathcal{C})$ depends only on the dimension of $\mathcal{C}$ for its definition. If $\mathcal{C}$ is not split, Theorem 1 shows the independence from u_i .

COROLLARY 2. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}'$ then $F(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to $F(\mathcal{C}')$.*

Proof. If φ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$ onto $\mathcal{C}'$, $N'(x\varphi) = N(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$ by (4). If u_i , $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, are chosen as above to define $F(\mathcal{C})$ and u_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, span $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, the elements $u_i\varphi$ in $\mathcal{C}'$ are orthogonal, have $N'(u_i\varphi) \neq 0$ and $u_i\varphi$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, span the

composition subalgebra $\mathcal{B}\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$. Thus $u_i\varphi$, $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ may be used to define $F(\mathcal{C}')$. Now $L(\mathcal{C})$ is clearly isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C}')$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(u) &= N(u_1)^{-1} \left(\sum_2^m N(u_i)x_i^2 + N(u_{m+1}) \right) \\ &= N'(u_1\varphi)^{-1} \left(\sum_2^m N'(u_i\varphi)x_i^2 + N'(u_{m+1}\varphi) \right) = \lambda(u\varphi)\end{aligned}$$

so $F(\mathcal{C}) = L(\mathcal{C})((- \lambda(u))^{1/2})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathcal{C}')((- \lambda(u\varphi))^{1/2}) = F(\mathcal{C}')$.

III. Properties of $F(\mathcal{C})$. In this section we prove a sequence of lemmas leading to the proof of our main theorem. We first prove

LEMMA 2. *Let $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the rational function field in n indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_n$, F a field extension of K , $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$. Then there is a K -place of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ into $F \cup \infty$ mapping x_i onto α_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. By induction on n . The result is well known if $n=1$ and the place can, in fact, be defined explicitly. If $n > 1$, we use the induction hypothesis, with K replaced by $K(x_1)$ to claim there is a $K(x_1)$ -place ψ of $K(x_1)(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ into $K(x_1)(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ such that x_i maps to α_i , $i > 1$. Now by the validity of the result for one indeterminate, there is a place φ of $K(x_1)(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = K(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)(x_1)$ into $F \cup \infty$ fixing the elements of $K(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \subseteq F$ and mapping x_1 onto α_1 . $\psi\varphi$ is then a K -place of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ into $F \cup \infty$ with the desired property.

COROLLARY. *Let $\lambda \in K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\lambda^{1/2})$ is a quadratic extension of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$, F a field extension of K . Then there is a K -place φ of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ into $F \cup \infty$ mapping x_i onto α_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and, if $\lambda\varphi$ is a square in F , φ can be extended to a K -place of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\lambda^{1/2})$ into $F \cup \infty$ mapping λ onto a square root of $\lambda\varphi$ in F .*

Proof. That φ exists follows from Lemma 2. It is known (e.g., [3]), that a place from $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ into $F \cup \infty$ can be extended to a place φ' of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\lambda^{1/2})$ into $F' \cup \infty$, F' the algebraic closure of F . Since, however, $(\lambda^{1/2})\varphi'$ must be a square root of $\lambda\varphi$ in F' , and since the square roots of $\lambda\varphi$ in F' are in fact, in F , $(\lambda^{1/2})\varphi' \in F$ and φ' maps $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)(\lambda^{1/2})$ into $F \cup \infty$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a composition algebra over K , F a field extension of K , we say F splits $\mathcal{C}$ (F is a splitting field of $\mathcal{C}$) if $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split.

LEMMA 3. *$L = K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the field of rational functions in n indeterminates, $n \geq 0$, splits $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is split over K .*

Proof. We show that, if $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ splits $\mathcal{C}$, $n \geq 1$, then $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ also splits $\mathcal{C}$ and hence, by induction, K splits $\mathcal{C}$ so $\mathcal{C}$ is split.

Let $u_1, \dots, u_e$ be an orthogonal basis for $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $N(x, y)$. This is also an orthogonal basis for $\mathcal{C}_L$ over L and, if $\mathcal{C}_L$ is split, there are $\xi_i \in L$, $1 \leq i \leq e$, such

that $N(\sum_1^i \xi_i u_i) = 0$. Clearing the denominators of the ξ_i we have, since $N(\alpha x) = \alpha^2 N(x)$ for $\alpha \in L$, polynomials p_i in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, not all $p_i \equiv 0$, such that $N(\sum_1^i p_i u_i) = \sum_1^i p_i^2 N(u_i) = 0$. We assume, without loss of generality, that x_n occurs in some p_i and we let k be the maximum of the degrees of the polynomials p_i , considered as polynomials in x_n over $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We can write each $p_i = x_n^k q_i + r_i$ where $q_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$, $r_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, r_i of degree less than k in x_n . Then $\sum_1^i (x_n^k q_i + r_i)^2 N(u_i) = 0$ and, since the x_i are algebraically independent, we must have $(\sum_1^i q_i^2 N(u_i)) x_n^{2k} = 0$, hence $\sum_1^i q_i^2 N(u_i) = 0$ in $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Thus $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ splits $\mathcal{C}$. Induction completes the proof that $\mathcal{C}$ is split over K .

Conversely, if $\mathcal{C}$ is split over K and F is any field containing K , there is $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $u \neq 0$ such that $N(u) = 0$. But $u \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $u \in \mathcal{C}_F$ so, since $N_F(u) = N(u) = 0$, $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split. In particular $\mathcal{C}_L$ is split.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a composition algebra over K , F, F' field extensions of K , φ a K -place of F into $F' \cup \infty$. If C_F is split, so is $\mathcal{C}_{F'}$.*

Proof. We show first that if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are elements of F , not all zero, there is some j such that $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi \in F'$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let j be such that $\lambda_j \neq 0$ and such that the number t of i for which $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi = \infty$ is minimal. If $t = 0$ we are done. If not, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi = \infty$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi \in F'$, $t < i \leq n$. $\lambda_i \neq 0$ since otherwise $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi = 0 \varphi = 0 \neq \infty$. Thus $(\lambda_i^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi = ((\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i)^{-1} \times (\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i)) \varphi = 0$ for $t < i \leq n$, and $(\lambda_i^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi = 1 \varphi = 1 \in F'$ and hence for λ_i there are at most $(t-1)$ i such that $(\lambda_i^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi = \infty$, a contradiction to the minimality of t . Thus $t = 0$.

Now if $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split, and u_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{C}$ over K , hence of $\mathcal{C}_F$ over F and of $\mathcal{C}_{F'}$ over F' , there are $\lambda_i \in F$ such that not all λ_i are zero and $N_F(\sum_1^n \lambda_i u_i) = \sum_1^n \lambda_i^2 N(u_i) = 0$. For λ_j such that $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi \in F'$ for all i ,

$$\sum_1^n (\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i)^2 N(u_i) = 0$$

and hence, $\sum_1^n (\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i)^2 \varphi N(u_i) = 0$. Since $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i)^2 \varphi = ((\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi)^2$, it follows that $N_{F'}(\sum_1^n (\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_i) \varphi u_i) = 0$ and, since $(\lambda_j^{-1} \lambda_j) \varphi = 1 \neq 0$, $\mathcal{C}_{F'}$ is split.

LEMMA 5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a division composition algebra over K , $\lambda \in K$, and suppose $L = K(\lambda^{1/2})$ is a quadratic extension of K . Then $\mathcal{C}_L$ is split if and only if there is $u \in (K1)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that $N(u) = -\lambda$.*

Proof. If there is $u \in (K1)^\perp$ with $N(u) = -\lambda$, then $x = (\lambda^{1/2})1 + u \in \mathcal{C}_L$ clearly has $N_L(x) = 0$, so $\mathcal{C}_L$ is split. Conversely, if $\mathcal{C}_L$ is split, then there is $x = a + (\lambda^{1/2})b$, $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$, such that $x \neq 0$, $N_L(x) = 0$. But $N_L(x) = N(a) + \lambda N(b) + 2N(a, b)(\lambda^{1/2})$ and thus $N(a, b) = 0$, $N(ab^{-1}) = N(a)N(b)^{-1} = -\lambda$. Since $N(ab^{-1}, 1) = N(a, b)N(b^{-1}) = 0$, $u = ab^{-1}$ satisfies the criteria.

Finally we give a slight generalization of a result of Jacobson [4], first defining subspaces V, V' of composition algebras $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ respectively, to be equivalent if there

is a nonsingular linear transformation φ of V onto V' such that $N'(x\varphi) = N(x)$ for all $x \in V$, N, N' denoting the respective quadratic forms of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$.

LEMMA 6. *If a composition algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a subspace of a composition algebra $\mathcal{C}'$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}'$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially that of Jacobson [4]. Let φ be the mapping of $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{C}'$ such that $N'(x\varphi) = N(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ are isomorphic composition subalgebras of $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ respectively. By (4), $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ are equivalent and, since $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}\varphi$ are clearly equivalent, $\mathcal{B}'$ and $\mathcal{B}\varphi$ are equivalent subspaces of $\mathcal{C}'$. By Witt's Theorem for bilinear forms, $(\mathcal{B}')^\perp$ and $(\mathcal{B}\varphi)^\perp$ are equivalent in $\mathcal{C}'$. Thus, if there is $u \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$ with $N(u) \neq 0$, which is the case unless $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$, then there is $u' \in (\mathcal{B}')^\perp$ such that $N'(u') = N'(u\varphi) = N(u)$. Then the algebras $\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}u, \mathcal{B}' + \mathcal{B}'u'$ are composition subalgebras of $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ respectively which are isomorphic by (2). Beginning with $\mathcal{B} = K1, \mathcal{B}' = K1'$ one can, in successive steps, thus construct an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{C}'$.

Since in this proof, whenever $2 \dim \mathcal{B} = \dim \mathcal{C}$, we need only produce elements u, u' in $\mathcal{B}^\perp, (\mathcal{B}')^\perp$ respectively with $N(u) = N'(u') \neq 0$, we can clearly weaken the hypotheses to obtain the

COROLLARY. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $2n$ -dimensional composition algebra, V a nonisotropic ($N(x, y)$ nondegenerate when restricted to V) subspace of $\mathcal{C}$ of dimension $n+1$ which contains an n -dimensional composition subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Then if V is equivalent to a subspace of a composition algebra $\mathcal{C}'$, $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}'$.*

We are now prepared to restate and prove

THEOREM 2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a composition algebra of dimension greater than one over K . Then*

1. $\mathcal{C}_{F(\mathcal{C})}$ is split.
2. If $F \supseteq K$ is any field, then $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split if and only if there is a K -place of $F(\mathcal{C})$ into $F \cup \infty$.
3. If $\mathcal{C}'$ is any composition algebra over K such that $\mathcal{C}'_{F(\mathcal{C})}$ is split, then either $\mathcal{C}'$ is split over K or $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}'$.

Proof. As in the definition of $F(\mathcal{C})$ in §II, we pick a set $u_i, 1 \leq i \leq m+1, m = 2^k - 1$, where $2^k = \dim \mathcal{C}$, and denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}$ spanned by $u_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. We may assume, by Lemma 1, that $u_1 = 1$ and hence

$$\lambda(u) = N\left(\sum_2^m x_i u_i + u_{m+1}\right).$$

Proof of 1. If $\mathcal{C}$ is split, Lemma 3 yields the result since $F(\mathcal{C}) = L(\mathcal{C})$ is a rational function field in $m-1$ indeterminates over K . If $\mathcal{C}$ is not split, neither is $\mathcal{C}_{L(\mathcal{C})}$ by Lemma 3, and since $\lambda(u)$ is by definition $N(\sum_2^m x_i u_i + u_{m+1})$, where $\sum_2^m x_i u_i + u_{m+1} \in (L(\mathcal{C})1)^\perp$, Lemma 5 yields the result.

Proof of 2. If there is a K place from $F(\mathcal{C})$ to $F \cup \infty$, $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split, by Lemma 4 and Part 1 of this theorem.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is split, $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split for any $F \supseteq K$. By Lemma 2, there is a K -place of $F(\mathcal{C}) = K(x_2, \dots, x_m)$ into $F \cup \infty$ for any $F \supseteq K$ as desired.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is not split, $\mathcal{C}_F$ is split. By (5), $\mathcal{C}_F$ contains a totally isotropic subspace W of dimension m over F . By a dimensionality argument W intersects $Fu_1 + \dots + Fu_{m+1}$ so there is $u = \beta u_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} \beta_i u_i$ in $\mathcal{C}_F$, $u \neq 0$, with $N_F(u) = 0$. Thus

$$\beta^2 = - \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} \beta_i^2 N(u_i)$$

and, if $\beta_{m+1} \neq 0$,

$$(\beta \beta_{m+1}^{-1})^2 = - \sum_{i=2}^m (\beta_i \beta_{m+1}^{-1})^2 N(u_i) - N(u_{m+1}).$$

By Lemma 2, corollary, there is a K -place φ of $F(\mathcal{C}) = K(x_2, \dots, x_m)((-\lambda(u))^{1/2})$ into $F \cup \infty$ mapping x_i to $\beta_i \beta_{m+1}^{-1}$, $(-\lambda(u))^{1/2}$ to $\pm \beta \beta_{m+1}^{-1}$.

If $\beta_{m+1} = 0$, some β_i , $i \neq m+1$ must be nonzero, since $0 = \beta^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m \beta_i^2 N(u_i)$ and not all of β, β_i are zero. We assume, without loss of generality, that $\beta_m \neq 0$. Then $(\beta \beta_m^{-1})^2 = \sum_{i=2}^m (\beta_i \beta_m^{-1})^2 N(u_i)$. Again by the corollary to Lemma 2, there is a K -place of $F(\mathcal{C}) = K(x_2, \dots, x_m)((-\lambda(u))^{1/2}) = K(x_2 x_m^{-1}, \dots, x_{m-1} x_m^{-1}, x_m^{-1})(x_m^{-1}(-\lambda(u))^{1/2})$ into $F \cup \infty$ mapping $x_i x_m^{-1}$ to $\beta_i \beta_m^{-1}$, $2 \leq i < m$, x_m^{-1} to zero, and $(x_m^{-1}(-\lambda(u))^{1/2})$ to $\pm \beta \beta_m^{-1}$, since $x_i x_m^{-1}$, $2 \leq i < m$, x_m^{-1} are algebraically independent over K .

Proof of 3. If $\mathcal{C}$ is split, $F(\mathcal{C})$ is a rational function field over K and hence, if $\mathcal{C}'_{F(\mathcal{C})}$ is split, $\mathcal{C}'$ is split over K by Lemma 3.

If $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ are not split over K and $\mathcal{C}'_{F(\mathcal{C})}$ is split, then since $\mathcal{C}_{L(\mathcal{C})}$ is not split and $F(\mathcal{C})$ is a quadratic extension of $L(\mathcal{C})$, Lemma 5 implies there is $u' \in (1')^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_{L(\mathcal{C})}$ such that $N'_{L(\mathcal{C})}(u') = \lambda(u)$. Thus in $\mathcal{C}'_{L(\mathcal{C})(x_1)}$,

$$N'_{L(\mathcal{C})(x_1)}(x_1 1 + u') = x_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m x_i^2 N(u_i) + N(u_{m+1}).$$

It follows easily from a result of Pfister ([5], Satz 3) that the subspace $Ku_1 + \dots + Ku_{m+1}$ is equivalent to a subspace of $\mathcal{C}'$. By the Corollary to Lemma 6, $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}'$.

We note finally that, in the event $\dim \mathcal{C} = 4$, i.e., when $\mathcal{C}$ is a generalized quaternion algebra over K , a judicious choice of the elements u_i in the definition of $F(\mathcal{C})$ will give rise to the same splitting field obtained by Witt [7].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Albert, *Quadratic forms permitting composition*, Ann. of Math. **43** (1942), 161-177.
2. S. A. Amitsur, *Generic splitting fields of central simple algebras*, Ann. of Math. **62** (1955), 8-43.
3. M. Deuring, *Lectures on the theory of algebraic functions of one variable*, Tata Inst. of Fund. Res., Bombay, 1959.

4. N. Jacobson, *Composition algebras and their automorphisms*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **7** (1958), 55–80.
5. A. Pfister, *Multiplikative quadratische formen*, Arch. Math. **16** (1965), 363–371.
6. P. Roquette, *On the Galois cohomology of the projective linear group and its applications to the construction of generic splitting fields of algebras*, Math. Ann. **150** (1963), 411–439.
7. E. Witt, *Über ein Gegenbeispiel zum Normensatz*, Math. Z. **39** (1934–1935), 462–567.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY,
COLUMBUS, OHIO